# Philo Q

## Metaphysics

Descarte: what is the world like

What he knows(epistemic)

Don’t need foundation

* D different from plato in that he observed changes(metap)? Or the forms? Same or differernt?

Forms are different a little hangover

D is very general

“form” as instance

D: properties, sth remains, occupy space

X sense-> reason

D moves away from senses, that is the same of P

L: features more sensible and others

* The Forms have “Being: a fixed and definite identity. The spatio-temporal world is a world of change or ‘Becoming,” thus its contents are not suitable as objects of (first class) knowledge. First class? Is it the most difference from Desc to greek philo?

P: hard to to be precise

Pure knowledge

* D still emphasizes locomotion? How come? Without purpose?

Aris: motion is like change

D: more physics

* Relationship in general between d and greek? Same in essence(aristotle), reason(plato), logic? Different in motion? Final cause? Senses?
* Knowledge, judgement, reality words

For Descartes, k(certainty) is the same, nowadays, k is different for us

* “I exist” (so long as) clearness & distinctness
* => I think, therefore I am 🡪 Enthymeme => I walk, therefore I am?
* Be careful with “I”

It's not a deduction

Certainty lingers so long as the realization of insights

Be careful about how it drifts off

* Methodological skepticism vs radical skepticism

R: we don’t know anything

M: construct sth at least (god’s circularity)

* When is wax not wax anymore

Read: oxford dic